



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,975	12/11/2003	Philip Tousignant	200312987-1	8522

22879 7590 06/14/2007  
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY  
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER
----------

ESTRADA, ANGEL R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2831

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/732,975

Applicant(s)

TOUSIGNANT ET AL.

Examiner

Angel R. Estrada

Art Unit

2831

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9-11 and 17-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8,12-16 and 37-40 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the “plurality of teeth extending diagonally outward from said first channel base” (claim 12), must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-11, 17, 19-29 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCracken et al (US 6,448,497; hereinafter McCracken).

Regarding claim 1, McCracken discloses a cable routing system (see figure 1) comprising: a first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) for routing at least one of a plurality of cables (20, 20') in a first direction (see figure 1); a second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) for routing said at least one cable (20, 20') in a second direction (see figure 1); and a plurality of teeth (46 or 94) spaced apart from one another and disposed in one of said first channel and said second channel (see figures 2, 5 or 6), said teeth (46 or 94) positioned to create spaces in-between said plurality of cables (see figures 2, 5, 6) before said at least one cable transitions from said first direction to said second direction (see figures 1 or 5).

Regarding claim 2, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) comprises: a base (28); and a plurality of sides projecting outward from said base (see figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 3, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) comprises: a base (28); and a plurality of sides projecting outward from said base (see figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 4, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) and said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) are positioned next to each other to create a cable bend area (see figure 1) wherein said bend area is sized to allow said cables to maintain said spaces as said cables (20,20') transition from said first direction to said second direction (see figures 1 or 5).

Regarding claim 5, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) further comprises: a cover (66 or 66') operating to cover said second channel base (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 6, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said teeth (46) are located within said second channel (22, horizontal direction) and project outward from said second channel base (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 7, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said teeth (46) are located in said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) next to said bend area (see figure 1) and said teeth (46) project outward from said first channel base (see figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 9, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) further comprises: a cover (66 or 66') operative to cover said base (see figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 10, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) further comprises: a plurality of covers (66 or 66'); a plurality of base fastening devices (70) attached to said

base (see figure 4); a plurality of cover fastening devices (64) attached to said covers (see figure 4); and wherein at least one of said cover fastening devices (64) is operative to secure at least one of said plurality of covers (66') to said base (28) by fastening to at least one of said plurality of base fastening devices (64, see figure 4).

Regarding claim 11, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said plurality of covers (66', 66) comprise one or more of: a clear cover; an opaque cover; a vented cover; and any combination of said clear, opaque, or vented cover (column 3 lines 14-16 and column 3 lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 17, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) is multisectional (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 19, McCracken discloses a method for routing cables (20,20') comprising: defining a first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5); defining a second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5); disposing a plurality of teeth (46 or 94) in one of said first channel and said second channel (see figures 1-3), wherein said teeth (46 or 94) are spaced apart from one another to create a plurality of cable paths (see figure 3), and running said cables (20', 20) in said first channel (22, vertical direction), through said cable paths, and into said second channel (see figures 1 or 5).

Regarding claim 20, McCracken discloses the method wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) comprises: a base (28); and a plurality of sides (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 21, McCracken discloses the method further comprising: positioning said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) and said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) near one another thereby creating an angle bend for said cables (see figure 3) and a cable bend area (see figure 1) wherein said bend area allows said cables (20,20') to maintain cable spacing as said cables transition from said first channel to said second channel (see figure 3); and mounting said plurality of teeth (26) in said first channel next to said angle bend (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 22, McCracken discloses the method wherein said running said cables step (see figure 1); comprise running said cables (20,20') into said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5); assigning each cable of said plurality of cables to at least one cable path of said plurality of cable paths (see figure 1); threading said cables through said assigned cable paths (see figure 1); running said cables from said assigned cable paths into said angle bend (see figure 1); and running said cables from said angle bend into said second channel (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 23, McCracken discloses the method further comprising: disposing a plurality of teeth (46) inside of said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5), wherein said teeth (46) are spaced apart from one another thereby creating a plurality of second channel cable paths (see figure 3); mounting said plurality of teeth in said second channel near said angle bend (see figure 3); and said running said cables (20,20') from said angle bend into said second channel step comprises: assigning each cable of said cables (20,20') in said angle bend to at least one of said

plurality of second channel cable paths (see figure 3), and running said cables from said angle bend through said assigned second channel cable paths into the remainder of said second channel (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 24, McCracken discloses an apparatus (see figure 1) for increasing the bend radius of a plurality of cables (20,20') comprising: a first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) having a base (28) and a plurality of sides (see figure 3), and a second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) having a base (28), a plurality of sides (see figure 3), and a plurality of teeth (46 or 96) spaced apart from one another operating to create spaces in-between said plurality of cables (see figure 3) wherein said first channel and said second channel are positioned to create a cable bend area (see figure 1) wherein said bend area is sized to allow said cables to maintain said spaces as said cables transition from said first channel to said second channel (see figures 1 and 5).

Regarding claim 25, McCracken discloses the apparatus (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) is mounted in an orientation that is vertical with respect to said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) so that a right angle is formed between said first channel and said second channel (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 26, McCracken discloses the apparatus (see figure 1) wherein said teeth (46) are mounted next to said cable bend area (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 27, McCracken discloses the apparatus (see figures 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) further comprises: at



least one cover (66,66'); a plurality of base fastening devices (70) attached to said base (see figure 4); and a plurality of cover fastening devices (64) attached to said cover (66') wherein said plurality of cover fastening devices (64) operate to secure said cover (66') to said base (28) by fastening to said plurality of base fastening devices (see figure 4).

Regarding claim 28, McCracken discloses the apparatus (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) further comprises: a plurality of teeth (46) mounted inside of said first channel spaced apart from one another projecting outward from said first channel base (see figure 3) wherein said teeth (46) are operative to space said cables apart as said cables run through said first channel (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 29, McCracken discloses the apparatus (see figure 1) wherein said second channel (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) further comprises: at least one cover (66,66); a plurality of second channel base fastening devices (70) attached to said second channel base (22, horizontal direction); and a plurality of cover fastening devices (64) attached to said cover (see figure 4) wherein said cover fastening devices (64) operate to secure said cover (66') to said second channel base (22, horizontal direction) by fastening to said second channel base fastening devices (see figure 4).

Regarding claim 33, McCracken discloses a mechanism for routing a plurality of cables (see figure 1), said mechanism comprising: means (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5) for routing said cables (20, 20') in a first direction (see figure 1), means (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5) for routing said cables (20,20') in a

Art Unit: 2831

second direction, means (46) for increasing a plurality of bend radii of said plurality of cables while transitioning from said first direction routing means to said second direction routing means (see figure 4), wherein said bend radii increasing means comprising a plurality of teethe defining said bend radii (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 34, McCracken discloses the mechanism (see figure 1) further comprising: means (66 or 66') for covering cables routed in said first direction routing means (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5); and means (64,70) for securing said covering means to said first direction routing means (see figure 4).

Regarding claim 35, McCracken discloses the mechanism (see figure 1) further comprising: means (66 or 66') for covering cables routed in said second direction routing means (22, horizontal direction; or 86 see figure 5); means (64,70) for securing said covering means to said second direction routing means (see figure 4).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2831

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCracken et al (US 6,448,497; hereinafter McCracken).

Regarding claim 18, McCracken discloses the system (see figure 1) wherein said first channel (22, vertical direction; or 82,80,84 see figure 5), said second channel (22, horizontal direction); but lacks said teeth being made of galvanized steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the teeth of galvanized steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Note: the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation "made of hot dipped" has not been given patentable weight.

Regarding claim 30, McCracken discloses the claimed invention except for at least one of said plurality of teeth (46) is shaped differently from the remaining plurality of teeth. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make at least one of said plurality of teeth's shape differently from the remaining plurality of teeth, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. Where the instant specification and evidence of record fail to attribute any significance

Art Unit: 2831

(novel or unexpected results) to a particular shape, a change of shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Regarding claim 31, McCracken discloses the claimed invention except for at least one of said plurality of teeth is sized differently from the remaining plurality of teeth. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make at least one of said plurality of teeth's size differently from the remaining plurality of teeth, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

4. Claim is 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCracken et al (US 6,448,497; hereinafter McCracken) in view of Kitagawa (GB 2,222,913).

Regarding claim 32, McCracken discloses the claimed invention except for a gasket positioned between said channels and said computer enclosure wherein said gasket is comprised of a material that operates to minimize electromagnetic interference. Kitagawa teaches an electronic enclosure (see figure 1) with a gasket (1) comprised of a material that operates to minimize electromagnetic interference. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make McCracken system with a gasket positioned between the channels and the enclosure and make said gasket of a material that operates to minimize

Art Unit: 2831

electromagnetic interference as taught by Kitagawe to provide means for protecting the electronic components inside the enclosure against electromagnetic waves.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 8, 12-16 and 37-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The primary reasons for the indication of the allowability of claims 8, 12-16 and 37-40 are:

Regarding claim 8, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations a cable routing system wherein said teeth are mounted to a teeth base wherein said teeth base is mounted to said first channel.

Regarding claims 12-16, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations a cable routing system wherein said plurality of teeth extending diagonally outward from said first channel base.

Regarding claim 37, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations a cable routing system wherein at least one of said plurality of teeth is a peg.

Regarding claim 38, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations a method wherein at least one of said plurality of teeth is a peg.

Regarding claim 39, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations an apparatus wherein at least one of said plurality of teeth is a peg.

Regarding claim 40, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest in combination with the other claimed limitations a mechanism wherein at least one of said plurality of teeth is a peg.

These limitations were found in claims 8, 12-16 and 37-40, and are neither disclosed nor taught by the prior art of record, alone or in combination.

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed on December 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that McCracken (US 6,448,497) lacks a plurality of teeth spaced apart from one another and disposed in one of said first channel and said second channel. The Examiner points out that McCracken clearly shows that limitation in Figures 1-5, notice that the plurality of teeth (46 or 94) are spaced apart from one another and are disposed in one of the channels (24, 80, 82, 84), said teeth (46) are positioned to create spaces in-between said plurality of cables (see figures 2, 5, 6) before said at least one cable transitions from said first direction to said second direction (see figures 1 and 5). The applicant argues that teeth portion 46 are disposed between the channels 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 and 44 rather than in a channel as claimed, the Examiner disagrees and points out that the reference number 24 on figures 3 and 4

Art Unit: 2831

represent the channels, reference numbers 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 and 44 represent the smaller channel that are created by the teeth or spacer portion 46.

The applicant also argues that McCracken (US 6,448,497) lacks a plurality of base fastening device attached to said base and a plurality of cover of fastening device attached to said cover. The Examiner disagrees and points out that item 64 is a device, a device is defined on Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary as piece of equipment designed to serve a special purpose, the aperture 64 is a piece that has the special purpose of securing the cover to the channel. Furthermore the Examiner states that the claims must be giving the broadest reasonable interpretation, see MPEP 2111.

### ***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Angel R. Estrada at telephone number (571) 272-1973. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 -5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext: 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 7, 2007



---

Angel R. Estrada  
Primary Examiner  
Unit: 2831